INTRODUCTION

There is a vast variety of clinical and radiologic manifestations of intraductal papillomatous lesions of the breast. Macroscopically, the lesion presents as an oval or roundish mass located within a dilated lactiferous duct; the mass may be pedunculated or broad-based, and it generally measures a few millimeters in diameter. Histological analysis reveals proliferation of the ductal epithelium surrounded by myoepithelial cells and a fibrovascular stroma. Although these lesions are benign, there is controversy about their diagnosis, mainly because of diversity in their clinical presentation and histopathology. Clinically, they present most commonly as nipple discharge, known as pathological nipple discharge, which can be either blood stained, serous or coloured. Blood stained or clear nipple discharge, usually of less than 6 months duration. The blood stained nipple discharge is
thought to be due to twisting of papilloma on its fibro vascular pedicle leading to necrosis, ischemia and intraductal bleeding.\textsuperscript{4} Management is multidisciplinary, requiring triple assessment with detailed history and clinical examination, imaging with mammogram or Ultrasonography, cytology of the discharge and biopsies followed by surgical intervention.

Ultrasonography of papillary lesions typically shows a solid, oval, intraductal mass, often associated with duct dilatation. A cystic component is also commonly seen, and lesions may appear hyper vascular on color Doppler.\textsuperscript{5,6} MRI has been used as part of diagnostic imaging, but with high false positivity.\textsuperscript{7} Pathological nipple discharge is defined as spontaneous, persistent, unilateral and coming from a single duct during non-lactational period. The rate of malignancy is reported to be 3.1%.\textsuperscript{8}

The aim of this retrospective study was to correlate the significance and accuracy of the colour of nipple discharge and breast ultrasound imaging in the diagnosis of intraductal papilloma.

METHODS

We conducted a retrospective study of 34 patients who underwent 36 microdochectomies in Sultan Qaboos University Hospital (SQUH) in the Sultanate of Oman, over a 4 year period of January 2009 till December 2012.

Patient inclusion criteria were all female, all ages, breast ultrasound showing intraductal lesion, patient undergoing microdochectomies. Ultrasonography and histopathological correlation was determined before and after microdochectomies respectively. The confounders considered were patient age, physical examination findings, nipple discharge cytology, ultrasound results and biopsy report following microdochectomy.

All patients were treated at the breast Unit in SQUH and were operated by the same surgeon using same technique. Identification of the duct was by ultrasound guided skin marking and the insertion of a lacrimal probe in the opening of the duct with discharge at the time of induction. All patients underwent day care surgery under general anesthesia.

Ultrasonography was performed by a single radiologist on a Philips IU 22 machine using a linear array 12.5 MHz transducer. Patients were scanned in the supine and or contra-lateral oblique positions depending on the sites of the lesions. The findings were reported according to the American College of Radiology’s BIRADS sonographic classification.

**Fine needle aspiration cytology and nipple discharge:** Smears from these specimens were stained with Papanicolaou, Haematoxylin and eosin and Diff Quik stains. Smears were examined for adequacy, morphologic characteristics of ductal epithelial cells (architecture and cytology), presence of myoepithelial cells, background stromal material and inflammatory cells.

**Biopsy:** Specimens of microdochectomy were sampled for evaluation by measuring, orienting and by a gross description. Margins were inked. Specimens were serially sliced along the length of the ducts for complete evaluation. Papillomas were recorded as single or multiple and maximum dimension measured. On Haematoxylin and eosin sections, morphology of the ductal changes and papillary lesions was evaluated; categorisation and classification of benign and malignant lesions was made; where necessary immunohistochemical demonstration of myoepithelial cells with p63, CK5/6 and for receptor status (ER/PR expression) was performed. Besides the radiologically detected duct lesion, comment was made on changes in the terminal duct lobular (TDLU) units (Fig.1) in the surrounding breast including presence of DCIS or LCIS. In malignant lesions, comment on the status of the inked margins was made for adequacy of excision.

**Statistical Analysis:** Comparisons analysis, charts and graphs were made using the SPSS software (version 20).

RESULTS

A total of 34 patients presented to the breast clinic at SQUH; all patients had tripple assessment with history and clinical examination, breast imaging with ultrasound or mammogram and breast ultrasound according to patients age. All 34 patients had a breast ultrasound; only 26 patients had a
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mammogram. Thirty-four patients underwent 36 years microdochectomies, as 2 patients had bilateral surgery. The mean age of the patients was 44(27-73) years. 27(75%) patients out of 36 had nipple discharge symptoms; 14(39%) out of 36 had blood stained nipple discharge and 13(36%) with coloured discharged varied between green, brown and yellow; 9 patients had no discharge but clinically had palpable lumps in the retro areolar region which on ultrasound showed an intraductal lesion. Ultrasound in all patients was suggestive of intraductal papilloma, and reported as BIRADS III. The side of the lesion was 19(53%) left breast and 17(47%) right breast. The specificity of Ultrasound showed 13(36%) when was correlated to final histopathology.

The final histopathology showed, benign intraductal papilloma 13(36%), duct ectasia 18(50%), DCIS 1 (2.7%), fibrocystic disease 3(8.3%) and LCIS 1(2.7%). The correlation between the type of discharge and the final histopathology of intraductal papilloma is shown in Table-I. P value was insignificant (P Value=0.44). One (2.7%) out of 36 patients showed DCIS, One (2.7%) out of 36 patients showed LCIS, and 50% had duct ectasia, (Table-II).

**DISCUSSION**

In this study our results showed that the colour of nipple discharge is irrelevant to the diagnosis of intraductal papilloma, in that only 29% of patients with intraductal papilloma presented with blood stained discharge, whereas 46% of those patients presented with colored discharge. This result is similar to other studies.4

There is currently no imaging technique that constitutes the gold standard for a definitive diagnosis of intraductal papillomas. Ultrasonography was used in our study by which some cases were found to have multiple intra-ductal papilloma’s within the same dilated duct and others had solitary papillomas. Ultrasound showed specificity of 36% for intraductal papilloma, when correlated with final histopathology, which was not similar to other
studies, this may be because ultrasound is very operator dependent. MRI and ductoscopy have been used, but have been used in limited center.

Microdochectomy remains an effective surgical approach for management of intraductal papilloma. Surgical excision is to be performed once diagnosis has been made FNAC or core biopsy: it allows thorough assessment of even the smallest lesions. Intraductal papilloma can be multiple or single and occasionally may develop malignancy. In our study co-existent DCIS was present in 2.7% of patients and LCIS was also 2.7%, similar to other studies.

All our specimen were evaluated on hematoxylin and eosin sections and immunohistochemical characterization used where applicable, which gave us more accurate results (Fig. 2a and 2b).

Multiple papillomas have similar features but ductal epithelial cells are more frequently associated with hyperplasia, atypia, DCIS (Fig.3) or LCIS (Fig. 4a and 4b), sclerosing adenosis or a radial scar.

We conclude that all spontaneous nipple discharge regardless of their color be referred to a breast surgeon for triple assessment. Ultrasound has been used conventionally for imaging these patients, however alternative imaging techniques may be needed for greater accuracy in diagnosing intraductal papilloma. Surgery remains the mainstay of treatment, however further study needs to be carried out to know more diagnostic imaging and potential for malignant changes in intraductal papilloma.
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