## Web 2.0 and Medical Education: Are we utilizing the resource effectively?

Masood Jawaid

Since the introduction of the internet and itssubsequent development as a multimedia platform awide range of educational activities were developed using this media. Initially only Web 1.0 was available which was based on static publishing model as only the developer creates the site and reader can only read. However with the introduction of Web 2.0 (also called the social web) the whole concept of web publishing has changed and awide range of Web 2.0 technologies have become verypopular in the 21st century. These applications include social networking sites (e.g., Facebook<sup>TM</sup>, Twitter<sup>TM</sup>), media-sharing sites (e.g., Flickr<sup>TM</sup>, YouTube<sup>TM</sup>), blogs, wikis, and podcasts, among others. 1 The most commonly used web 2.0 application in our country is social networking sites like facebook and twitter. A major reason has been that they requirelittle or no technical expertise, allowing users to easily createtheir own content and to actively share information; opinion and support across networks of users. The popularity of these applications are increasingas they are expanding onto mobile phones and Internet hand held devices. A recent paper about medical education releated Apple applications showed that more than 350 applications are avaliable related to one plateform only.3 Most of these activities are social but the educational potential is increasinglybeing recognized worldwide(commonly known as e-learning).4

Medical students these days belong to a generation called "Millennials" or the "Net Generation". <sup>5,6</sup> Due to their high level of technological literacy, they are much more enlightened and knowledgeable. A National School Boards Association survey revealed that 96% of the student respondents with online access used social networking technologies such as chatting, text messaging, blogging, and visiting online commu-

Correspondence:

Dr. Masood Jawaid, MCPS, MRCS, FCPS Assistant Prof. of Surgery, DOW International Medical College, DOW University of Health Sciences, Karachi - Pakistan.

E-mail: masood@masoodjawaid.com

nities such as Facebook and MySpace; 71% used social networking tools weekly. Social networking is also common amongst physicians-in-training, with 39.8% of residents and 69.5% of medical students maintaining Facebook accounts. In the 21st century students enjoy learning with new technology through discovery, by experiencing and experimenting with it. They are less fond of reading and are more comfortable in multimedia-rich environments than with formal text.

E-learning offer advantages in clinical education, like overcoming physical limitations of time and space, supporting teaching methods that are difficult toaccomplish using formal textbooks and reaching a larger number of students in real time without increasing resource utilization. However, every picture has two face and this medium is not without some drawbacks. For exampleinitial set-up costs, lack of engagement compared to face-to-face interaction and technical problems that canspoil users' overall learning and teaching experiences. 10 There is wide range of literature available about its use in medical education from the West<sup>1,11</sup> but unfortunately we are farbehind in this instance and yet to date relatively few educational health care organizations have started utilizing the tools and strategic advantages offered by Web 2.0 in Pakistan. Some of the reasons why it is not used to its full potential are lack of interest, lack of resources and awareness beside faculty development.

The potential benefits of Web 2.0 technologies in health care education shouldnot be underestimated, particularly for countries with financial constraints where many working days were not utilized because of law and order situation. If we work in a proper way we can easily adopt this new technology to impart education to the students withintheir own boundariesduring these times as well to make them a good health care provider. We don't have any base line studies about its use amongour students (undergraduate orpostgraduate) and faculty members. In today's world of evidence based medicine the first step is not the general perceptions but evidence that

how many of our users are utilizing these technologies and what are their perceptions. Are they really ready to use this technology for medical education sinceuse of this medium just for the sake of technology is not the answer. Before making some investment in money, time and energy some homework is always advisable.

Personal observation shows that medical students not only use facebook for social networking but alsofor sharing exams important topics, radiographs and instruments pictures. Once students were communicated important information for their professional exam about ultrasound picture interpretation in OSCE which could not be provided to them in classroom on time.

Even after exams it is an important tool to have feedback from the students. This is just the tip of an iceberg by which one can interact and use this technology in medical education. Many postgraduate students save the lectures with their iPods to consult them later. A huge range of podcasts are already available freely on net for learners to use. Yet there is need to use this medium much more formally. 12

Since many universities are currently busy in revising their curriculum and regulatory authorities are now open to constructive suggestions, it is high time that the potential of web 2.0 should be explored in our own setup according to our requirementsso that this medium can be used in undergraduate and postgraduate education.

## REFERENCES

- Sandars J, Schroter S. Web 2.0 technologies for undergraduate and postgraduate medical education: an online survey. Postgrad Med J. 2007;83(986):759-62.
- 2. O'Reilly T. What is Web 2.0. 2005. http://www.oreillynet.com/pub/a/oreilly/tim/news/2005/09/30/what-is-web-20.html (Accessed 2 February 2010).
- Ellaway R. eMedical Teacher. Medical Teacher 2011;33:258-260.
- Balacheff N, Hardin J. Advances of the semantic web for elearning: expandinglearning frontiers. Br J Educ Technol. 2006;37:321–30.
- Elam C, Stratton T, Gibson D. Welcoming a new generation to college: The Millennial students. Journal of College Admission. 2007;195:6.
- Oblinger D. Boomers, Gen-Xers, and Millennials: Understanding the New Students. Educause Review. 2003; 38(4):37-47.
- Creating & Connecting: Research and guidelines on online social and educational networking. [http:// www.nsba.org/site/view.asp?CID=63&DID=41340].
- Black E, Thompson L, Duff W, Dawson K, Saliba H, Black N. Revisiting Social Network Utilization by Physicians-in-Training. J Graduate Med Educ. 2010;2(2):289.
- 9. Sweeny RT. How the new generation of well-wired multitaskers is changing campus culture. Chronicle of Higher Education 2007; 53:13.
- Cook D. Web-based learning: Pros, cons and controversies. Clin Med.2007; 7:37-42.
- 11. KamelBoulos MN, Wheeler S. The emerging Web 2.0 social software: an enabling suite of sociable technologies in health and health care education. Health Info Libr J. 2007;24(1):2-23.
- Gray K, Tobin J. Introducing an online community into a clinical education setting: a pilot study of student and staff engagement and outcomes using blended learning. BMC Medical Education. 2010;10(1):6.