RADIOULNAR SYNOSTOSIS IN A FATHER AND HIS 5 YEAR OLD DAUGHTER

Mohammad Fakoor¹

ABSTRACT

Radioulnar synostosis is rare and its hereditary link is doubtful. In this report a 39-year old man with proximal bilateral radioulnar synostosis and his daughter with proximal unilateral radioulnar synostosis are presented.

KEY WORDS: Radioulnar synostosis.

Pak J Med Sci April - June 2006 Vol. 22 No. 2 191 - 193

INTRODUCTION

Sandifort originally described congenital radioulnar synostosis in 1793.1 Congenital proximal radioulnar synostosis is a malformation caused by failure of normal prenatal separation of the radius and ulna. The persistent connection between the two bones is nearly always proximal; while distal radioulnar synostosis is extremely rare.²⁻⁶ The connection is initially cartilaginous, and is not diagnosed until it ossifies, forming a bony synostosis. The forearm is usually fixed in pronation, probably because this is the normal fetal position. Functional defecits with congenital radioulonar synostosis depends on the severity of the deformity and on whether or not it is bilateral. In cases with severe fixed forearm pronation deformity, the patient is unable to compensate with scapular and glenohumeral motion. The forearm usually lies in the pronated or hyperpronated position.

Dr. Mohammad Fakoor MD
 Assistant Professor of Orthopedic
 Department of Orthopedic,
 Imam Khomeini Hospital,
 Jondishapour University of Medical Sciences,
 Ahwaz, IRAN.

Correspondence:

Dr. Mohammad Fakoor E-Mail: m_fakoor@ajums.ac.ir dr_m_fakoor@yahoo.com

* Received for Publication: August 15, 2005 Accepted: January 5, 2006

CASE REPORT

A 5-year-old daughter with right proximal radioulnar synostosis visited our clinic. She was the second child of the family. The older child did not suffer radioulnar synostosis. Her 39 year old father had suffered proximal bilateral radioulnar synostosis. Thirty years ago, when he was nine year old he visited a hospital because of limitations in supinations and fixed pronations of the two radioulnar joint. After physical and radiological examinations bilateral radioulnar synostosis was diagnosed. Surgery was recommended immediately which could not be performed due to some problems. In the physical and laboratory examination, no other anomaly was found. He actively works as a vehicle driver. He has no important problem at work. However he has fixed arm pronation and limitation in supination in both sides (Fig I-III). His daughter showed proximal right radioulnar synostosis. Laboratory and physical examinations showed no evidence of other anomaly. On physical examination, there were a fixed pronation and limited supination. Flexion and extension movements were normal (Figure IV-VI).

DISCUSSION

Congenital radioulnar synostosis is a rare condition with approximately 350 cases reported world wide. There is three-to-two male predominance. Some researches reported



Figure-I: Fixed arm pronation in a 39 year old vehicle driver.



Figure-II: Supination was limited in the both side in a 39 year old vehicle driver



Figure-III: Proximal radioulnar synostosis in a 39 year old father as seen the plain x-ray.



Figure-IV: Mild abnormality in the supination of the right forearm in 5 year old girl



Figure-V: Mild deformity in pronation of the right forearm in a 5 year old girl.



Figure-VI: Proximal radioulnar synostosis as seen in the plain x-ray.

equal distribution in both and 60% of cases are bilateral.¹ Positive family histories have been reported.⁷⁻⁹ Miura E et al.¹⁰ reported 9% familial recurrence for the radioulnar synostosis. Although radioulnar synostosis is usually an isolated event, it may be associated with anomalies that affected renal, cardiovascular, central nervous system, and musculoskeletal systems. Renal anomalies involve anatomic malformations that can be screened by ultrasonography. Musculoskeletal problems include club feet, dislocated hips, polydactyly, syndactyly, and Madelung deformity. 4-6,11 Our patient did not show any other anomaly. Surgical intervention results remains controversial and frequently unsuccessful.^{1,12} The first patient (father) is currently working as an active car driver. Due to adjustment to his condition, conservative treatment and exercises were recommended for him. Due to high failure rate of surgery and mild anomaly in the right hand and normal left hand in daughter, she was also recommended conservative treatment with follow up.

REFERENCES

1. Wurapa R. Radial-Ulnar Synostosis. Available from: URL: http://www.emedicine.com

- 2. Bauer M, Jonsson K. Congenital Radioulnar Synostosis. Radiological Charactersitics and Hand Function: Case Reports. Scand J Plast Reconstr Surg Hand Surg 1988; 22: 251-5.
- Keleikian H. Radioulnar Synostosis. In: Keleikian H, ed. Congenital Deformities of the Hand and Forearm. Philadelphia: W.B. Saunders Company. p902, 1974.
- Sachar K, Akelman E, Ehrlich MG. Radioulnar Synostosis. Hand Clin 1994; 10: 399-404.
- Simmons BP, Southmayd WW, Riseborough EJ. Congenital Radioulnar Synostosis. J Hand Surg Am 1983; 8: 829.
- 6. Waters PM, Simmons BP. Congenital Anomalies: Elbow Region. In: Premier CA, ed. Surgery of the Hand and Upper Extermity. New York: McGraw-Hill. p2049, 1996.
- Bergsma D. Birth defects compendium, 2nd Ed. New York: Alan R. Liss (The National Foundation, March of Dimes), 1979.
- 8. Henson HO, Anderson ON. Congenital radio-ulnar synostosis. Acta Orthop Scand 1970; 41: 255.
- Cleary JE, Omer GE. Congenital proximal radio-ulnar synostosis: natural history and functional assessment. J Bone Joint Surg Am 1985; 67: 539-45.
- Miura T, Nakamura R, Horri E. Congenital hand anomalies in Japan. J Hand Surg Am 1990; 15(3): 439-44.
- 11. Mital MA. Congenital radioulnar synostosis and congenital dislocation of the radial head. Orthop Clin North Am 1976; 7; 37-583.
- 12. Miura T, Nakamura R, Suzuki M, Kanie J. Congenital Radio-ulnar Synostosis. J Hand Surg 1984, 9B:153-5.