ABSTRACT

Objective: Urogenital anomalies are frequently associated with anorectal malformations which are a common source of significant morbidity. The aim of this study was to evaluate the incidence and nature of associated urogenital anomalies in patients with Anorectal Malformations (ARM).

Methodology: Documents of 104 patients with ARM were studied from 2003 to 2005. All patients underwent sonography of urinary tract and lumbosacral radiography. Other imaging studies were done in selected cases and voiding cystourethrography (VCUG) performed in 62 patients.

Results: Urologic malformations were found in 44 patients (42.3%) without sexual preponderance (p>0.05). Genital anomalies were detected in 16 cases (15.5%) with a significantly high frequency in males (p<0.05). The incidence of associated urogenital anomalies was significantly higher in “high” form of anomaly than those with “low” form (p<0.05). Vesicoureteral reflux (VUR), hydronephrosis, and renal agenesis were the most common urologic anomalies respectively. Cryptorchidism and hypospadiasis were the most frequent genital anomalies. Sacrospinal anomalies were detected in 22% of patients.

Conclusion: The high incidence of associated urogenital anomalies necessitates a careful investigation of all patients with ARM. VCUG is essential even with normal sonographic findings.
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INTRODUCTION

Anorectal malformations (ARM) occurs in one of every 4000-5000 newborns and incidence is slightly higher in male than female.1-3 Several classification systems of this defect have been proposed in the surgical literature. ARM may be classified as either “high” or “low” anomalies based on their relationship to the levator muscle complex.2-4 About 50-60% of infants have associated malformations including urogenital, cardiovascular, sacrospinal and gastrointestinal anomalies.1-5 Occasionally patients present with a constellation of anomalies as a part of the “VACTERL” association (Vertebral, Anorectal, Cardiac, Tracheoesophageal, Renal, Radial and Limb anomalies).4,6,7 Associated anomalies are more common in high than low level deformities.5 The true incidence of genitourinary anomalies found in patients with ARM may only be detected by complete urologic evaluation. Urologic malformations may cause renal damage and may lead to chronic renal failure if not detected in time. Early diagnosis of urogenital malformations in neonatal period is essential in preventing future complications. The objective of this paper is to review the incidence and types of associated urogenital anomalies with ARM in
our institution and compare the results with other similar studies.

PATIENTS AND METHODS

In this cross sectional study, we reviewed the records of 104 neonates with ARM who were admitted in Children’s Hospital of Tabriz, between April 2003 and March 2005. The variables included: sex, level of imperforate anus (High or low), findings in physical examination, and the results of imaging studies. The level of anomaly was detected by radiography and surgeon’s report on operation. All patients underwent ultrasound evaluation of the urinary tract, AP and lateral X-ray of lumbosacral spine. Renal isotope scan and intravenous urography were done in selected cases. Patients with abnormal sonography underwent VCUG in neonatal period and those with normal sonography had VCUG in outpatient follow up. At the time of review the results of VCUG were available in sixty two patients. Urinary tract anomalies were defined as any renal, ureteral or bladder malformation, excluding rectovesical and rectourethral fistula. Genital anomalies were diagnosed by physical examination followed by imaging studies when needed. Grading of reflux was done according to international classification. The data were analyzed by chi-square and fisher’s exact test and differences considered statistically significant for P values < 0.05.

RESULTS

Of the 104 patients with imperforate anus 58(55.8%) were male (38 with high and 20 with low type deformity) and 46 (44.2%) were female (24 with high and 22 with low type deformity). There was no significant difference between boys and girls for level of imperforate anus (P=0.168). At least one associated anomaly was detected in 71(68.2%) patients (50 of them with high and 21 with low type of deformity) with a preponderance in “high” level deformities than “low” ones (P= 0.002).

Urologic, cardiac and sacrosprinal anomalies were the most frequent associated anomalies respectively (Fig-1). Forty-four of 104 (42.3%) patients had at least one urologic malformation with no sexual preponderance and 16 (15.3%) patients had genital malformation with significantly high incidence in males.

Table-I. The Incidence of associated urogenital anomalies was higher significantly more common in patients with “high” type ARM (Table-V). Vescicoureteral Reflux (VUR), hydronephrosis and unilateral renal agenesis were the most common urologic anomalies respectively (Table-III). Cryptorchidism and hypospadiasis were the most common genital anomalies (Table-IV). VUR was found in 26 of 62 (42%) patients investigated by VCUG. VUR was bilateral in eight and unilateral in 18 cases. So there were a total of 34 refluxing renal units. Grade I was seen in five, grade II in nine, grade III in eleven, grade IV in five and grade V in 4 kidneys. In 11 of 26 patients with VUR (42.3%), sonography of urinary tract was normal. In 7 of 15 patients with unilateral renal ectopia, hypoplasia and agenesis, VUR was found in

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Urologic anomaly</th>
<th>Genital anomaly</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>58(55.8%)</td>
<td>25(43%)</td>
<td>13(22.4%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>46(44.2%)</td>
<td>19(41%)</td>
<td>3(6.5%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>44(42.3%)</td>
<td>16(15.5%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P Value</td>
<td>0.281</td>
<td>0.914</td>
<td>0.036</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig.1- Frequency of associated anomalies in 104 patients with imperforate anus
contra lateral kidney (7/15=46.6%). Hydronephrosis was detected in 23 (22%) patients, accompanied with hydroureter in fifteen cases. The most common cause of hydronephrosis was VUR which was present in 11 of 23 patients. Sacrospinal anomalies were detected in 23 (22%) patients (19 with “high” and four with “low” level lesions), among these hemivertebra was the most frequent anomaly. VACTERL association was present in two patients, and VATER and VACTER each in one patient (4/104 =3.8%).

**DISCUSSION**

Urinary tract abnormalities are the most common associated anomaly in patients with ARM and have been reported in 26-52% of several large series. Its incidence is higher in infants with a “high” versus a “low” anomaly and boys are more prone than girls to have an urologic anomalies. About 42.3% of our cases had urinary tract anomalies with a preponderance in high level deformities, but we didn’t find statistically significant difference in incidence of urologic anomalies, between males and females. VUR and renal agenesis are the most common associated urinary tract anomaly with imperforate anus. Metts and boemers found VUR in 32% and 27% of their cases respectively. Misra et al reported that 37.5% of patients with low deformity had VUR, but Rattan and Srivastava reported the incidence of VUR only in 1.7% and 5.4% of their patients. This wide variation in incidence of VUR is related to the different methods of studies. In some studies VCUG was performed only when sonographic findings were abnormal. In this study, although VCUG was performed only in 62 of 104 patients, but twenty six had VUR showing higher incidence than other anomalies. Hydronephrosis and renal agenesis are the most common anomalies of the upper urinary tract in this study. Considering that hydronephrosis is secondary to other anomalies such as VUR and bladder dysfunction, renal agenesis may be considered as the most common primary anomaly of upper urinary tract, similar to literature.

Neurovesical dysfunction (NVD) is a frequent finding in children with anorectal malformations. Emir et al. reported its incidence 45.4%. Boemers found NVD in 24% of cases. Sheldon et al reported NVD in 70% of patients with imperforate anus, who underwent genitourinary procedures. NVD commonly is associated with sacrospinal deformities but some authors recommend evaluation of all patients.
with magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) because spinal cord anomalies may occur without obvious sacrospinal anomalies. Urodynamic studies (UDS) are reserved for those children with either a deformity of the spine or a spinal cord defect or any signs of NVD on a VCUG and sonography. In this study 22% of patients had bony sacrospinal deformity, but they were not investigated for NVD. In future studies we have to consider MRI and UDS for evaluation of NVD in our patients.

In this study the incidence of genital anomalies was 22.4% in boys and 6.5% in girls with an overall incidence of 15.5%, similar to the 16.4% and 16.5% reported by Metts and McLorie respectively. In our patients cryptorchidism and hypospadias were the most common genital anomalies, as in cases of Metts. In some studies hypospadiasis has been reported as most common genital anomaly. A lower incidence of genital anomalies in girls could be attributed to an inadvertent missing of internal genitalia abnormalities in the presence of normal looking external genitalia.

In conclusion all patients with imperforate anus should be thoroughly investigated for urogenital and spinal anomalies. VCUG is mandatory even in those with normal sonography. Prophylaxis for urinary infection should be initiated until VCUG is performed, because the incidence of VUR is really high. Appropriate urologic care is essential to prevent renal damage.
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