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ABSTRACT

Objective: In-vitro study, the effect of zinc sulfate on healing ulcers is being shown but it is a limited study which has been done to demonstrate the effect of zinc sulfate on peptic ulcer in human. This study was conducted to investigate the effect of zinc sulfate on healing peptic ulcer disease.

Methodology: This was a double blind randomized clinical trial in which patients who were referred to endoscopy clinic in Sanandaj Besat hospital were included. Patients were allocated to intervention group (n=46) and control group (n=58) at random. All patients received standard treatment for ulcer containing Amoxicillin, Metronidazole, Omeprazole and Bismuth. For intervention group one zinc sulfate capsule (220 mg) was added to treatment regimen (one capsule on alternate day). After four weeks, endoscopy was repeated again. The data was analyzed by SPSS software and x², Fisher exact and T test were used for analysis.

Results: There was no difference between intervention and control group in the same factors like smoking, alcohol, NSAID drugs consumption and the size of ulcer. Mean size of ulcers in intervention and control group before treatment were 24.6 (±17.7)mm and 22.5 (±21.2)mm respectively. The mean percentage size reductions of ulcer in intervention and control group after treatment were 92 (±14) percent and 89.4 (±16.4) percent which did not illustrate significant difference in two groups.

Conclusion: This study confirms that zinc sulfate with the dosage of 220 mg on alternate days doesn’t have significant effect on peptic ulcer healing. More studies with higher doses needs to be undertaken to determine effective dose of zinc.
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INTRODUCTION

Peptic ulcer is disruption of duodenum or stomach mucous that leads to local defect and active inflammation. The acid–pepsin disorder is very common in US and involves about 4 million people every year. The prevalence of peptic ulcer is about 12% in men and 10% in women.1

The predisposing factors are H.pylori infection, long term consumption of NSAID and acid over secretion. Other factors like stress, personality type, Alcohol, smoking and diet are also involved. One of the problems in peptic ulcer is healing of the ulcers that could decrease the complications.2

Zinc is important for body metabolism, growth, activation of about 300 enzymes,
gastrointestinal acid secretion reduction and tissue healing,3,5 but the effect of zinc on tissue healing isn't completely known.4 A few clinical and animal studies have showed the effect of zinc components in treatment and prevention of peptic ulcer.6-8 In one study, the zinc serum level of people with peptic ulcer was lower than normal population while zinc amount in gastric mucus was higher probably because of zinc aggregation in injured mucus.9 Zinc deficit in serum and peptic tissue (gastric & duodenum) could show its pathological effect on ulcer, but this is still controversial.10 However studies on animal and human have shown the zinc cytoprotective effect.11-15

This study was undertaken to investigate effect of zinc sulfate consumption on healing of peptic ulcer disease.

METHODOLOGY

This study was a double blind randomized clinical trial (RCT). We studied all patients who were referred to endoscopy clinic in Sanandaj Besat Hospital. Out of 164 patients 104 participants fulfilled inclusion criteria and were allocated in intervention (n=46) and control group (n=58) by randomized blocking method. Inclusion criteria consisted of patient's satisfaction, peptic ulcer confirmed by endoscopy and no treatment for peptic ulcer during four last weeks. For every person one questionnaire was filled in before endoscopy. Exclusion criteria included evidence of ulcer malignancy in pathology result and patients not willing to go for endoscopy again. An internist did the upper GI endoscopy and treatments were started using four drugs: Amoxicillin 500 mg QID, Metronidazole 500 BID, Omeprazole 20 mg/day and Bismuth 240 mg TDS for all patients. A nurse allocated patients in intervention or placebo group by random blocking method and delivered 220 mg zinc sulfate capsules (every other day) for intervention group.

After four weeks, all patients filled in questionnaire again and endoscopy was repeated. The study was explained to patients and informed consent was taken from participants. The Kurdistan University of medical sciences Ethic Committee approved this study. The data were analyzed by SPSSwin 11.5 and x², Fisher, and T test were used for analyzing the data.

RESULTS

Of 104 patients, 46 patients (44.2%) were in intervention group and 58 patients (55.8%) in control group. Four patients in intervention and six in control group did not agree to have follow-up endoscopy. Demographic characteristics and patient's history have been shown in Table-I. In intervention group, the ulcer size of less than 30 mm was in 32 (69.6%) and more than 30 mm was 14 (30.4%). In the control group 43 ulcer cases (74.1%) were less than 30 mm and 15 cases (25.9%) were more than 30

Table-I: Comparison of Characteristics in two groups

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Intervention</th>
<th>Control</th>
<th>Significance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>44.24±10.06</td>
<td>48.12±16.73</td>
<td>NS*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>23 (50%)</td>
<td>26 (44%)</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>23 (50%)</td>
<td>32 (55.2%)</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Epigastric pain (week)</td>
<td>8.02±9.65</td>
<td>13.07±25.99</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distention (week)</td>
<td>17.14±41.73</td>
<td>11.61±19.15</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nausea (week)</td>
<td>10.77±18.55</td>
<td>8.06±8.93</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Epigastric burn (week)</td>
<td>18.73±4341</td>
<td>17.61±23.58</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smoker</td>
<td>13 (23.8%)</td>
<td>13 (22.4%)</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alcohol consumption</td>
<td>4 (8.7%)</td>
<td>3 (5.2%)</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NSAID consumption</td>
<td>5 (10.9%)</td>
<td>4 (8.7%)</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*NS: Not Significant
mm; so there was no significant difference between two groups (p>0.05). The location of ulcers was as follows: 29 (27.9%) ulcers in stomach, 75 (72.1%) in duodenum, four (3.8%) in stomach, 17 (16.3%) in antrum, four (3.8%) in fundus, four (3.8%) in cardia, 70 (67.3%) in first part of duodenum and five (4.8%) in second part of duodenum.

The mean size of ulcers in intervention and control group before treatment were 24.6 (±17.7) mm and 22.5 (±21.2) mm which means there was no significant difference. The mean percentage size reduction of ulcers in intervention and control group after treatment were 92 (±14) and 89.4 (±16.4) percent. There was no significant difference in mean of ulcer size between intervention and control groups, before and after treatment (Table-II).

**DISCUSSION**

Zinc is an important element for metabolism, growth, and tissue healing but, the mechanism of zinc effect on tissue healing isn’t completely clear. Some of clinical and laboratory studies have shown the effect of zinc components in treatment and prevention of gastric ulcers. In one study, the serum level in patients with peptic ulcer was lower than normal population and zinc amount in gastric mucus was higher, that may be caused by zinc accumulation in injured mucus. Our results showed that the percentage of reduction of mean ulcer size in intervention group was 92.03 that is higher than control group (89.42%), but there was no significant statistical difference. Perhaps, it may be due to low zinc sulfate dose (220mg/day, every other day).

One laboratory study in Hong Kong showed that ulcer healing in rat increased significantly; this process was dose related. They used 88mg/kg zinc which is higher than our dosage. Also another study used 220 mg zinc, tree times a day, and consequently ulcer healing in intervention group was higher three times more than control group. In one animal model study, zinc, with 20 mg/kg dosage, had preventive effect on ulcer.

One study in Spain showed that 600mg/kg dosage had more effect on duodenal ulcer healing comparing with 40mg/kg/day Famotidine. In comparison with other studies, the zinc dosage in this study was lower than the others. This is because of disapproval of ethic committee of Kurdistan University of medical sciences for using higher doses. In our study in intervention group only nausea had increased relatively. Therefore in future studies we could use higher doses of zinc sulfate. On the other hand we didn’t detect the level of serum zinc before the study, so it was a defect in our study. However one study in India showed that serum zinc level in patients with peptic ulcer disease is lower than normal people.

In this study, the ulcer size in both groups had been reduced, and mean ulcer size after treatment in intervention group was less than control group but there was no significant statistical difference between two groups. The mechanism of zinc effect is not known exactly. In one in-vitro study in US the effect of zinc sulfate and acetylcystein on gastric ulcer was confirmed, probably sulfidryl components induced their effects and basal gastric acid secretion decrease was suggesting.

In another study some drugs like zinc sulfate, meciadanol, propranolol, dipiridamol, which in animal studies have cytoprotective effect, have been suggested. One study assessed the effect of zinc sulfate, on ulcers which have been induced by alcohol and indometha-

<p>| Table-II: Comparison of ulcer size before and after treatment in two groups |
|--------------------------------|------------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Group</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Mean (mm)</th>
<th>SD (mm)</th>
<th>P-Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pre treatment</td>
<td>Intervention</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>24.65</td>
<td>17.72</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Control</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>22.51</td>
<td>21.22</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>After treatment</td>
<td>Intervention</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>3.88</td>
<td>7.32</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Control</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>5.79</td>
<td>10.83</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NS: Not Significant
cacin in rat stomach, and assessed the changes in PGE2 and histamine levels in gastric mucus glands. Alcohol reduces the levels of histamine while indomethacin reduces the PGE2 in gastric mucus glands. Also alcohol increases histamine in gastric secretions. Zinc sulfate reverses the changes of histamine mucosal and secretory levels which are induced by alcohol but has no effect on PGE2 reduction which is induced by indomethacin.7

CONCLUSION

This study showed that zinc sulfate in dose of 220 mg/day every other day doesn’t accelerate peptic ulcer healing.
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